# LIFE Insurancee 

## How to protect your family

\% $\%$ \%he series on life insurance beginning in this issue is designed to help the typical consumer save some big
money. Many people shell out $\$ 400, \$ 600$, even $\$ 1000$ a year for life insurance without much idea of what they're getting for the money. Reluctant to probe the arcane world of death benefits, cash values, and the like, most buyers don't realize that there are major differences between the chief types of life insur-ance-and big cost differences within each type. A 35-year-old woman buying $\$ 200,000$ worth of life insurance, for example, could save $\$ 15,000$ or more over a 20 -year period by choosing a policy carefully.

The first step in buying life insurance is to figure out how much you need. So this report begins with a worksheet and detailed directions for pinning down that vital number.


Insurance agents are usually eager to sell you a policy that combines death protection with some sort of savings plana traditional whole-life policy or one of the new universal-life policies. These policies may be absolutely the wrong choice for many buyers. We'll explain why in a detailed look at the three major life-insurance products.


## A speciă tiatect part series

## June: Term insurance

This first part of our series explains term insurance, the-simplest-and for many buyers, the hest-form of life insurance to buy. Term insurance, the plain vanilla of the life-insurance industry, provides death benefits only, with no savings element. We start with a step-by-step guide to figuring your insurance need. You may be surprised at how high the total is. But you may also be surprised at how easily you can afford the coverage-if you purchase it the right way. We'll also help you figure out how much of your insurance need is already filled by "insurance" almost all wage-earners already ownyour Social Security survivors benefits. And we tell you how companies judge whether you're a good insurance risk. Last but not least, we rate specific policies for different age groups and amounts of insurance, to help you decide which ones are good buys.
natinge of 96 ferm policies

## July: Whole life

Next month, we'll turn our attention to the product that for decades was the bread and butter of the life-insurance industry-whole-life insurance. It's designed to cover you for as long as you live, and its annual premiums as a rule stay constant from year to year. Besides offering an insurance benefit in the event of death, whole life includes what amounts to a built-in savings plan. It also contains a built-in trap: high premiums that makeif amos impossible lor a nuddlejincame amily to atord enoughinsurance to pros tect children adeguately. For those few who can afford to consider it, we'll discuss whether whole life is sensible as an investment. The July installment will also feature a report on a company that, in just nine years, has grown from nowhere into the nation's biggest seller of individual life insurance policies-the A.L. Williams organization.

Ratings of 40 whote-life policies

## August: Universal life

The newest kid on the life-insurance block is universal life, whose sales have been growing dramatically. It's a razzle-dazze product, combining some elements of traditional term insurance, some elements of whole life, and some twists of its own. A big selling point is flexibility. Within timits, you can choose the amount you want to put in each year. Part of your payment goes to provide the death benefit and part goes into a fund that in some wars resermbles a mones-market fund. We'll answer some tough questions about these glityy products. How much of your mones is paid to the company in fess? ' What's the real rate of retum on the sasines paxt of she package? Can companies sustain the higg rates of return they re been advertising? Sthce many people already own life-insurance policies, we'll also discuss how to judge whether you should drop one policy to buy another.

Ratings of 41 anversal-mite nicias

# How much life insurance do you need? 



L
ife insurance isn't fun to buy. You can't wear it, eat it, or decorate your house with it. It doesn't bring the same pleasure as a new dress, fine chocolates, or Japanese prints on the wall. Buying life insurance is actually a bit painful. It forces you to think about your own death, a subject you might prefer not to confront.

Insurance people like to say that life insurance is "sold, not bought." Unfortunately, that's usually true. Many people who need life insurance are passive about it. They put off the decision until they meet an agent who sells them some kind of policy. But all too often, it's a policy that provides too little coverage at too high a premium.

## Who needs life insurance?

There's a single, overriding reason to buy life insurance: to provide an income for your dependents. One can put life insurance to other uses as well-to serye as an investment, for example, or to defray estate taxes. But for most people, those reasons pale beside the need for insurance as a financial replacement for the breadwinner should he or she die tomorrow.

Families with young children almost always need a lot of insurance. Young children can't support themselves. The younger the children, the more years they'll need to be supported-and the more life insurance you need.
Historicaliy, companies have sold life insurance as a man's product. In the traditional family, the husband worked while his wife stayed home with the children.

The breadpinner bought the life insurance. Conventional wisdom had it that women didn't need insurance, since they had no incomes to replace. If women carried any insurance at all, it was usually only a small amount.
But today most wives are wage-earn'ers. Almost half of all women with a child under one year old are in the workforce, either as the sole breadwinner or as one provider in a two-income family. Those women need insurance.
Couples without children may or may not need life insurance. The test is whether your mate would suffer financial hardship if you died tomorrow. If he or she can earn a reasonable living without you, you probably don't need insurance. But if your mate depends on your income, then by all means consider buying insurance to provide an income after you're gone.

Children generally don't need life insurance, since they have no incomes to replace and their parents normally don't count on them for support. Yet some agents have convinced parents to buy insurance on the kids anyway. In 1984, according to the American Council of Life Insurance, the median amount of lifeinsurance coverage on children was $\$ 5000$. Money spent on children's policies would be better spent to beef up coverage on the breadwinners.

Do single people need insurance? If you're a single parent, or a single person supporting an aged parent who would suffer financial distress if you died, the answer is yes. But if you have no dependents, you might be better off spending the money on a Caribbean vacation.

Agents like to sell insuranceto single people almost as much as they like to sell it to children. Their pitch: buy insurance now so yourl have it later when you need it. After all, they say, the rates are cheaper when you're young. And you might get sick and become uninsurable.

The odds, however, are against your becoming uninsurable. Even if you did get sick, many condtions that would have once sent your application to the wastebasket no longer disqualify you from obtaining coverage Paying for insuranceWhen you don't need it is a waste of money no matter how cheap the rates.

Most people who have life insurance don't have enough. The median amount of coverage for all adults who have any insurance was only $\$ 15,000$ in 1984 -a figure that includes group insurance. That's obviously not enough to sustain a family with young children for very long.

Metropolitan Life, no slouch when it comes to selling insurance to Middle America, reports that the average size of its bread-and-butter whole-life policy is only $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 50,000$.

In 1984, Nationwide Insurance Co. used a 1980 version of CU's life-insurance planning worksheet to study the insurance needs of 3674 people. The company
found that the married men in its study carried an average of about $\$ 101,880$ in life insurance; they needed about $\$ 100,706$ more. Married women carried about $\$ 43,516$ worth of insurance but needed some $\$ 98,507$ more. Families with the highest incomes had the greatest gaps in coverage.

A survey we conducted of our own subscribers last year also points to inadequacies in many people's coverage. Among households we surveyed, 39 percent had less than $\$ 50,000$ of coverage. About 40 percent carried $\$ 100,000$ or more, a figure that is much more in line with CU's recommendations over the years.

Many men and women don't know how much life insurance they would need to maintain their family's current lifestyle if the breadwinners died. It's no wonder they don't know. Insurance agents are not telling them.

A CONSUMER REPORTS reporter visited nine life-insurance agents in New York City; none did a proper analysis of her lifeinsurance needs. One of the agents told her she needed insurance equal to five times her income. Another recommended $\$ 250,000$ to $\$ 300,000$. A third plucked the figure of $\$ 100,000$ out of the air. The other six agents asked her how much she needed.

## How to use the workstheet

Don't depend on an agent to figure your needs. You can do it yourself, using the worksheet on page 376 and the explanations beginning on this page. Rule-ofthumb estimates such as five or eight times your income are simply guesses; they may produce too little or too much insurance. Carry too little insurance and you may not provide a reasonable standard of living for your family after your death; carry too much and you may not enjoy a reasonable standard of living while you're alive.

Each person in your family who has an income to replace should analyze his or her own life-insurance needs. If both husband and wife contribute income to the family, both should fill out the worksheet.

The first part of the worksheet helps you figure what your family's expenses would be if you died tomorrow. The expenses fall into two broad categories: final expenses such as estate taxes, probate costs, and funeral expenses; and funds for future needs, such as daily living expenses and future educational costs for children.

The second part of the worksheet analyzes your assets and the sources of income that you can use to cover the expenses.

Once you've calculated both your income needs and assets, you subtract the assets from the needs. The result is the amount of additional insurance that you'll need to buy.

Not every item in the worksheet may be applicable to you. You may not have an entry for child-care expenses. Or you may not want to provide for your children's college expenses. The worksheet is very personal; there are no right or wrong answers. The answers depend on the CONSUMER REPORTS JUNE 1986 198606 - Consumer Reports - Life Insurand
assumptions you make about your goals, job, education plans, and so on.

Pay close attention to the calculation of Social Security survivors benefits (page 377-378). Those benefits will be a major source of income for many families.

To illustrate how to fill out the worksheet, we include here an analysis of the needs of a working mother whose financial situation is familiar to us. We'll call her Kristine Hill.

## The immediate expenses

The first part of the worksheet has entries for the immediate expenses your family would face if you died tomorrow. These include estate taxes, probate costs, funeral expenses, and uninsured final medical expenses.
Estate taxes. Generally, everything you own-your house, bank accounts,
stocks, jewelry, and so on-becomes part of your estate. Property you bequeath to a spouse, bowever, is not subject to Federal estate tax.

Many people don't have to worry about Federal estate taxes, since an estate must exceed $\$ 500,000$ before it's taxed. (The thresboid goes to $\$ 600,000$ next year.) If you have a large estate, you can figure your tax obligation with the help of a Govemment publication called Federal Estate and Gift Taxes (publication number 448). To get it, call 1-800-424-1040.

Kristine Hill did not expect her estate to have any Federal estate-tax liability. Her estate would owe no New York inheritance taxes either, since her busband is the sole beneficiary of her estate. New York allows a person to pass his or her entire estate to a spouse tax-free. That may not be true in other states. So it's wise to consult a tax specialist.

You may or may not want to include your life-insurance policies in your estate. You can keep them out of your estate if you give up the right to change the beneficiary of the policy, to borrow on it, or to use it as collateral for a loan. The woman in our example kept life insurance in her estate, since it didn't affect the amount of estate taxes her heirs might have to pay.

Probate costs. Probate is the legal process by which the state validates your will after you die, makes sure debts are paid, and makes sure that your wishes are carried out. (If you don't have a will, you should. See consumer reports, February 1985.) Your will may have to go through probate, especially if you have property in your own name, make special bequests, give property to your children, or set up trusts. The probate procedure can involve lawyers' fees, filing and administrative fees, and fees to your executor. (If you've named a family member as executor, he or she may not take a fee.)
Attorneys' fees vary from state to state and from lawyer to lawyer. Some states set a maximum fee based on a percentage of the estate's value. Others require lawyers to charge "reasonable fces" based on the actual work they do. If your estate is complicated or long-lost relatives fight for the spoils, probate costs could be high.

If you don't have a will, there are still costs. The state will distribute your property and charge your estate some money for its work.
Check probate costs with the lawyer who drew up your will, or with a tax specialist. Hill consulted her attorney and determined that her will probably would not go through probate, since she is leaving everything to her husband and all of their property is jointly held. So she allowed no probate expenses.
Funeral expenses. If you want to go out in grand style, your funeral costs can be stratospheric. But with intelligent costsaving measures, your survivors can keep your funeral costs low.

The National Funeral Directors Association says that in 1983, funeral charges and casket costs averaged about $\$ 2700$ across the country. Those figures don't include cemetery expenses, monuments, or miscellaneous items such as flowers, clergy fees, and transportation, which can roughly double that figure. Hill allowed $\$ 5000$ for funeral expenses.

Uninsured medical expenses. A final illness can be very costly, particularly if you don't have good medicalinsurance coverage. If you have good medical and hospital coverage, it will probably pay almost all of your medical expenses. If you don't, it's wise to budget
several thousand dollars for uninsured medical costs. Hill has a good medical plan where she works, so she thought $\$ 1000$ was enough to cover any uninsured expenses.

## The future expenses

These funds represent the bulk of your life-insurance needs. They include a family expense fund, an emergency fund, and in some cases a child-care fund, a college fund. and a find for repayment of debts.

Family expense fund. This is an amount of money your family would need for daily expenses. To calculate it, follow these steps:

Step 1. Figure your monthly takehome pay by multiplying your weekly take-home pay by 4.33 (the average number of weeks in a month). If your spouse has income, make the same calculation with his or her take-home pay. Add the two numbers together to arrive at the family's total monthly take-home pay.

Kristine Hill figured her family's total monthly take-home pay was $\$ 3378$.

Step 2. Next, decide how much of that amount your family would need to cover its expenses after you're gone. Most people feel that about 75 percent of their current take-home pay is adequate. It costs two people more to live, but not twice as much as one, the theory goes. Hill figured that her family would need $\$ 2534$ per month. That includes day-care costs, apartment rent, food, clothing, and transportation expenses.

Decide whether you want the family expense fund to cover mortgage payments. If you do, your survivors would keep on making monthly mortgage payments just as you do now. You may decide, though, that your insurance proceeds should pay off the mortgage entirely. In that case, include the outstanding amount under repayment of debts. Or you may have a separate mortgage insurance pol-
icy that will pay off the outstanding mortgage amount if you die. If you have such a policy, consider your mortgage paid up for purposes of the worksheet.
Step 3. Determine the amount of monthly income your family will have coming in after you die. Include your spouse's take-home pay, Social Security survivors benefits (see page 377), and any survivors benefits from a company pension plan. (For most workers under age 55 , company pension benefits will be small. Ask your pension administrator to figure them for you.)

Hill figured her family could count on her husband's monthly take-home pay ( $\$ 1146$ ) and Social Security survivors benefits (anticipated to be $\$ 833$ a month). So, after her death, the family would have about $\$ 1979$ of monthly income.

Step 4. Figure out the monthly short-fall-the amount by which your family's monthly income after you die falls short of the amount it needs-by subtracting the total in step 3 from the total in step 2.
Hill calculated that her family would need $\$ 2534$ a month after her death, but could count on only $\$ 1979$. So her family would be about $\$ 555$ a month short.
Step 5. Multiply the monthly shortfall by 12 to arrive at an annual figure. In our example, that annual figure is $\$ 6660$ ( $\$ 555 \times 12$ ).
Step 6. Decide how many years your survivors will need the yearly income you determined in step 5 .
You might, for example, assume that your spouse will be self-supporting after the youngest child goes to college or leaves home. If your spouse has a good company pension and will have substantial private savings (IRAs and Keoghs, for example), then you may not need insurance proceeds for your spouse's retirement income. You can stop the analysis at the point when your youngest child reaches 18 . But if you want this fund to Family expense fund Emergency fund Child care expenses Education fund Repayment of debts Total future expenses ee - p371-4
last longer-perhaps because your spouse doesn't work or has dim prospects for a good retirement income-then you'll use a larger number of years.
Keep in mind that Social Security benefits to children end when they turn 18, and Socia! Security benefits to nonworking surviving spouses (so-called mothers' or fathers' benefits) stop when the youngest child reaches 16. A nonworking spouse will resume receiving Social Security survivors benefits again at age 60 .
The Hills' child is only one year old now. So they need to provide 17 years' worth of income for her. Our couple wanted the wife's insurance to supplement her husband's retirement income for 10 years. So Hill planned on buying enough insurance to make the family expense fund last for 27 years altogether.

Step 7. Multiply the number of years by the amount of annual income you need, determined in step 5 .

The Hills wanted 27 years of income, and figured the annual shortfall at $\$ 6660$. Multiplying the two figures, Hill concluded that the family expense fund should total $\$ 179,820$.
We assume that the fund would be invested upon Hill's death and earn interest at a rate that exactly equals the rate of inflation. That's a fairly conservative assumption, but it's wise to be conservative in such matters, we believe.
If you overestimate your needs a bit as a result of that assumption, it might balance an underestimate elsewhere, such as unanticipated medical expenses or the need for major repairs on the family house after you're gone.
Emergency fund. Every family should have an emergency fund. Its size is very much a personal matter. Some financial experts recommend $\$ 10,000$ or $\$ 15,000$. Others suggest two to six month's after-tax income. The Hills thought $\$ 10,000$ was enough.
Child-care fund. For many couples, child-care expenses will already be factored into the family expense fund. That was the case for the Hills. But if one spouse is currently staying home with the kids, then both parents need to think about how the death of one parent would change the picture.

Let's say that the husband is eaming wages and the wife is staying home with the kids. If the husband dies, the wife might have to take a paying job-and thus incur added expenses for child care. That's a reason to add an appropriate amount to the husband's coverage.
If the wife died, the husband may suddenly be faced with paying for baby sitters
or day care. That's a reason to consider life-insurance coverage even on a nonworking spouse. (Adequate coverage for the breadwinner should be purchased first, though.)

The Conference Board, a business research organization, has found that most parents are now paying about $\$ 3000$ a year per child for out-of-home care. In some large cities the cost can run as high as $\$ 13,000$. Figure how much the yearly costs are likely to be in your area, and multiply that number by the number of years until your children can take care of themselves.

Education fund. You may want to provide a sum for your children's college education. It's not easy to predict how much college will cost several years from now. While college costs have risen sharply over the years, they haven't always risen in step with inflation. In ${ }^{\text {. }}$ years where inflation rose steeply, college costs didn't always rise as fast, and vice versa. Nor is it easy to say how falling student enrollments will affect college costs.

The College Board, an organization that monitors college costs, says that for the $1985-86$ school year, the average annual cost of a four-year private college was $\$ 9659$. That figure includes room and board, books, tuition, personal expenses, and some transportation costs. A comparable figure for a four-year public institution was $\$ 5314$.
If your children are nearing college age. those figures should be reasonably accurate. If college is a long way off, then interest earned on the insurance proceeds should help offset future cost increases. Keep in mind that part of the college bill may be defrayed through financial aid or through loans. (See our report, "Can You Cope with College Costs?," in June 1985.)

The Hills wanted to provide six years of college and postgraduate education for their child. They multiplied today's cost of $\$ 9659$ by six and got $\$ 57,954$.

Repayment of debts. Since you'd probably like to leave your survivors as debt-free as possible, you'll most likely want to budget some insurance money for this purpose. A mortgage loan will probably be your biggest debt, but you may not want to enter it here. If you have a mortgage insurance policy that will pay off your mortgage, or if you want your spouse to keep making monthly payments, don't list your mortgage as a debt.

Do include other debts such as car loans and credit-card purchases, unless they're already covered by separate "credit life" insurance policies you've bought. (But note that credit life insurance is usually a
bad buy. It's generally better to buy big insurance policy than several snippets. , Buying individual credit-life policies is purchasing nails individually; it's needlessly expensive.)
Hill figured she owed about $\$ 500$ various credit-card accounts.
Total need. Add up all the elements determine your total need, and enter that figure on the appropriate line of the worksheet. Kristine Hill figured her total needs at $\$ 254,274$.

## What you already have

The next step is to tote up all your assets that would be turned into cash in the event of your death. These may include cash and savings, equity in real estate, securities, IRA and Keogh plans, employer savings plans, employer lumpsum pension benefits, current life insurance, and miscellaneous assets such as art collections or assets from a business.

Don't apply any particular asset to your family's needs unless you expect your survivors to tum it into cash. For example, if you want your survivors to continue living in your house, then don't count the equity that's been built up. Likewise, your wed-

## What you have now

## Cash $\mathcal{O}$ savings

 Equity in real estate Securities IRA $\mathcal{O}$ Keogh plans Employer savings plans (e.f. 401 (k) plans) Lump-sum employer pension benefits

## How much life insurance do you need?

## What you need

The immediate expenses
Federal estate taxes
State inheritance taxes
Probate costs
Funeral costs
Uninsured medical costs
Total final expenses
The future expenses
Family expense fund
Emergency fund
Child care expenses
Education fund
Repayment of debts
Total future expenses Total needs

## What you have now

Cash $\mathcal{E}$ savings
Equity in real estate
Securities
IRA © Keogh plans
Employer savings plans (e.g. 401(k) plans)

Lump-sum employer pension benefits
Current life insurance
Other assets
Total assets

## Extra insurance needed

Total needs minus totel assets Total needs
Total assets
Additional insurance needed

Kristine Hill


Kristine Hill
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ding ring may be a valuable family heir-loom-but one that your family couldn't bear to sell. Do include IRA and Keogh accounts and employer-sponsored savings programs like $401(k)$ plans. Money you've saved through these plans is payable without penalties to your survivors.
If you're covered by a pension plan at work, check with your pension administrator to see if there will be a lump-sum survivor's benefit payable upon your death. If there is, include it among your assets. If you've already listed a monthly pension benefit in step 3 of the Needs worksheet, you probably won't have a lump-sum pension benefit. You normally get one or the other, but not both.

List any life-insurance policies you already own. Like many people, you may have more than one. Include employerprovided group insurance and any policies that you might have bought through the mail. Don't include any credit-life or mortgage policies. In this calculation we've assumed that they will simply pay your debts or mortgage.

Hill catalogued her family's assets this way: cash and investments, $\$ 57,986$; IRAs, $\$ 9000$; employer $401(\mathrm{k})$ plan, \$5381: employer pension lump-sum benefit, $\$ 7270$; life insurance, $\$ 66,000$, including $\$ 16,000$ in group insurance from her employer. She owns no real estate and doesn't want her husband to sell their art and antique collection if she dies. The grand total of her assets is $\$ 145,637$.

## Extra insurance needed

Once you've determined your total needs and total assets, subtract the assets from the needs to arrive at the amount of additional insurance you should have. For most people, this number is likely to be quite large. As we've pointed out above, most people have a large life-insurance deficit, and a calculation such as this reveals just how large it is.
Hill needed substantially more insurance than she had. Her total need was $\$ 254,300$, while her assets, including her existing life insurance, came to $\$ 147,700$. The worksheet shows that she needs an additional $\$ 108,600$ of coverage to protect her family adequately.
Never think that you've written your life-insurance program with indelible ink. The birth of a baby or the purchase of a house might mean you need more coverage. If you inherit money from a rich uncle or if a child leaves the nest, you'll probably need less. Review your insurance needs at least every five years, and more frequently if your financial situation has significantly changed.

# What Social Security pays your survivors 

For most families, Social Security survivors benefits are a major source of income when the breadwin. ner dies. If you don't consider them when estimating your life-insurance needs, your calculation will lead you to purchase far more insurance than you need.

The monthly payments to survivors are a percentage of a certain "magic number" that the Social Security Administration calculates for you based on your earnings record. It's called your primary insurance amount. The actual amount your survivors receive depends on several factors: the amount of your past earnings, your age when you die, the ages of the surviving family members, and the amount of income your spouse earns.

## Who gets benefits?

A surviving spouse under age 60 receives benefits only if he or she is caring for young children and does not have substantial earnings. These benefits stop when the youngest child turns 16 . But then they may start again when the spouse turns 60. Disabled spouses can receive benefits at age 50 .

If the surviving spouse earns less than a specified amount (currently $\$ 5760$ a year, or $\$ 7800$ for people age 65 and older), Social Security pays the full benefit. If the spouse earns more than $\$ 5760$, the benefit is reduced by $\$ 1$ for every $\$ 2$ of eamings above $\$ 5760$. In most cases, a spouse eaming around $\$ 20,000$ or more would receive no survivors benefit. The eamings test only involves employment income. If a surviving spouse gets investment income, pension benefits, or insurance proceeds, the Social Security survivors benefit isn't affected.

If the spouse is caring for a child who was disabled before age 22, he or she receives a benefit for as long as the child remains disabled. A divorced spouse who was married to you for at least 10 years (and isn't married to someone else now) is also eligible for survivors benefits.

Social Security also pays benefits to
surviving children until they are 18 , whether or not their mother or father gets a benefit. Children can continue to receive benefits until their nineteenth birthday if they are still in high school. Children who were disabled before age 22 receive survivors benefits for as long as they remain disabled and are unmarried. (Social Security used to provide benefits for college students, but gradually phased them out, beginning in 1981.)

If you have three or more family members eligible for benefits, Social Security doesn't pay full benefits to each one. The family receives a maximum benefit.

## Figuring the benefit

The formula that determines your primary insurance amount is complex. We believe most people couldn't, or wouldn't want to, wrestle with the math involved. And, unfortunately, local Social Security Administration offices lack the resources to make the calculation for you, although the agency is considering providing that service at local offices in the future.

CU asked the Social Security Administration to devise a simple table you can use to estimate what your survivors would be likety to get if you died this year. The table on page 378 is the result. It will give you an accurate estimate if you have worked steadily since entering the workforce at about age 22 or earlier and have received regular pay increases that were of average size. The estimates will be of if you've received paltry or gigantic pay raises over the years or if you've had many years without earnings. The Social Security Administration estimates that about 60 to 70 percent of all workers can get a sufficiently accurate estimate from this chart to figure their insurance needs. (That means that the estimate should be within $\$ 25$ to $\$ 75$ of the actual monthly survivors benefit.)

If your work history does not fit the typical pattern, the table will give you only a rough approximation. But there's still a ray of hope. The Social Security Administration headquarters in Baltimore says
that it will estimate survivors benefits on request. Write to the Social Security Administration, Office of Public Inquiries, Baltimore, Md. 21235. Since that service is relatively new, we can't tell you how prompt or efficient it is, but it certainly is a step in the right direction. (CU has been urging for years that the Social Security Administration help people estimate the survivors benefits to whirh their heirs would be entitled.)

The table shows benefits payable to various categories of survivors. The "spouse with one child" and the "spouse with two children" categories assume that the spouse has either low earnings or no earnings and is eligible for full benefits. The "spouse with two children" category shows the maximum family benefit.
The "spouse at age 60 " category shows the amount that's payable in 1986. For a spouse turning 60 in the future, the benefit will be greater.
A surviving spouse who has worked and has an earnings record can receive Social Security retirement benefits based on
that record. But people can't get both the retirement benefit and the survivors benefit at the same time. A spouse who has worked will receive an amount equal to either the survivors benefit or the retirement benefit, whichever is greater.
To use the table, find the age closest to your current age and the eamings closest to your earnings in 1985.

To determine which family category to use, consider how much your spouse will earn after your death. If he or she will earn less than $\$ 5760$ per year, use the "spouse and one child" or "spouse and two children" benefit, whichever is appropriate. Remember the "spouse with two children" category is the maximum family benefit.
If your spouse will earn about $\$ 20,000$ or more and you have one child, then use the benefits for "one child only," If you have two or more children, use the category "spouse and one child," which, as we point out in the table, is also the benefit for two children when a spouse earns substantial income.

If your spouse will earn between $\$ 5760$ and $\$ 20,000$, the benefit is reduced by $\$ 1$ for every $\$ 2$ of earnings above $\$ 5760$. Other factors also affect how the benefit is calculated. As you can see from the table, the family benefit for a spouse who earns less than $\$ 5760$ and cares for two children would be about $\$ 1364$ a month. A spouse whose eamings exceeded $\$ 20,000$ would usually receive nothing, but the two children would get a total of $\$ 1168$ per month.

Now take a woman who earns $\$ 15,000$ and cares for two children. Her hushand dies at the age of 35 and was earning $\$ 25,000$ at the time of his death. Since the woman earns $\$ 15,000$, the family benefit each month would be somewhere between $\$ 1168$ and $\$ 1364$. As it turns out, the benefit would vary somewhat each month, but would average about $\$ 1200$ per month over the years.

Once you've estimated what your survivors would get from Social Security, enter that amount in step 3 of the needs calculation on page 376.

## Social Sccurity survivors benefits

Table shows the approximate monthly benelt your family would receive if you died in 1986. The amounls shown below are close approximations only it you have been employed steadily inroughout your career and have had roughly average wage increases. For more detalls, see story.

Your earnings in 1985

| Your | Your family | \$25,000 | \$20,000 | \$25,000. | \$30,000 | \$35,000 | \$39,600 or mare |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spouse and 1 child (1) | 5848 | \$1042 | \$1174 | \$1266 | \$1358 | \$1440 | - |
| 25 | Spouse and 2 children ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1050 | 1226 | 1371 | 1479 | 1566 | 1581 |  |
|  | 1 child oniy | 423 | 521 | 567 | 633 | 679 | 720 |  |
|  | Spouse at age 60 (3 | 403 | 497 | 560 | 603 | 647 | 686 |  |
|  | Spouse and 1 child (1) | 838 | 1032 | 1168 | 1254 | 1330 | 1368 |  |
| 35 | Spouse and 2 children ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1043 | 1216 | 1384 | 1455 | 1553 | 1597 |  |
|  | 1 child only | 419 | 516 | 584 | 627 | 665 | 684 |  |
|  | Spouse at age 60 (3) | 399 | 492 | 557 | 598 | 634 | 652 |  |
|  | Spouse and 1 child [il | 836 | 1030 | 1150 | 1200 | 1234 | 1250 |  |
|  | Spouse and 2 children ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | 1042 | 1214 | 1344 | 1401 | 1440 | 1460 |  |
|  | 1 child only | 418 | 515 | 575 | 600 | 617 | 625 |  |
|  | Spouse at age 60 ® | 399 | 491 | 548 | 572 | 588 | 596 |  |
|  | Spouse and 1 child ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 836 | 1026 | 1124 | 1156 | 1178 | 1188 |  |
| 55 | Spouse and 2 children ${ }^{2}$ | 1041 | 1210 | 1313 | 1350 | 1375 | 1388 |  |
|  | 1 child only | 418 | 513. | 562 | 578 | 589 | 594 |  |
|  | Spouse at age 60 (3) | 399 | 469 | 538 | 551 | 561 | 566 |  |
|  | Spouse and 1 child ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 798 | 984 | 1072 | 1104 | 1128 | 1140 |  |
| 55 | Spouse and 2 children ${ }^{2}$ | 991 | 1156 | 1252 | 1290 | 1316 | 1330 |  |
|  | 1 child only | 399 | 492 | 538 | 552 | 564 | 570 |  |
|  | Spouse at age 6003 | 381 | 469 | 511 | 527 | 537 | 543 |  |

(1) Amount shown here also equals the benefit paid to two chitdren, // no parent survives. The same amount is
also payable to a family with two children and a surviving parent who has substantial eamings.
园 Equals the maximum family benefit.
国 Amounts payable in 1986. Spouses turning 60 in the fulure would receive higher benefits.

## Term insurance: Why plain vanilla is best.

$T$erm insurance is the poor cousin of the insurance industry. Few companies want to sell it, and few agents suggest that you buy it. Some agents won't even tell you about it. Or they may tell you that term policies are not good enough for you.

The industry has a hidden agenda. It would rather sell you whole-life or univer-sal-life policies, which are more profitable than term policies. It would rather sell you those policies even if they leave you underinsured.
For nearly 50 years, $C U$ has been recommending that most buyers choose term insurance.
With term insurance, you may be able to protect your family adequately. You might not be able to afford adequate coverage with other types of life insurance. Our study shows, for example, that a 35 -year-old woman buying our top-rated term policy would pay around $\$ 200$ the first year for $\$ 200,000$ of protection. She would pay upward of $\$ 2000$ for the same amount of coverage from one of the toprated whole-life policies in our study.
Term-insurance premiums increase as you get older. But the annual premium for the lowest-cost term policy in our study doesn't exceed $\$ 2000$ until the 21st year.
Long before then, however, the typical family may find that its insurance needs have declined substantially. Take the case of Kristine Hill, whose insurance needs are calculated on page 375 . In 20 years, the Hills' baby will be a young woman; half of the child's college costs will be behind her; and with any luck, the Hill family's assets will have grown. In constant dollars, her need for life insurance, which we calculated at about $\$ 175,000$, may well decline by half. Eventually, she may find she needs no insurance at all.

Term insurance provides coverage for a specific time period or term, hence its name. The term often is as short as one year, but not infrequently is as long as 10 or 15 years. If you die during that time, the insurance company promises to pay the face amount of the policy to your beneficiaries, provided, of course, that you've

continued to pay your premiums.
The insurance company may guarantee in advance that it will renew the policy for another term, without any need for you to prove that you're still insurable. Many term policies these days potentially provide coverage for life; they can be renewed until age 100 . Others can be renewed only until age 65 or 70 . And still others are nonrenewable.
During the term, the amount of coverage usually remains constant; in insurance jargon, "the face amount stays level." If you bought a $\$ 50,000$ level term policy for five years, your coverage would always be $\$ 50,000$.

Sometimes, though, the face amount can increase or decrease. If, for example, you bought a $\$ 50,000$ decreasing term policy to cover a mortgage loan, your coverage would decline as the outstanding
amount of the mortgage declined.
Your annual premiums usually stay constant during each policy term. With each renewal, however, the premiums go up. That's because you are older and your chances of dying during the new term are greater.

As a rule, term policies offer a death benefit only, with no savings element. In trade parlance, term policies usually don't "build cash values." Most of the time, they can be converted to policies that do accumulate cash values-whole-life or universal-life policies. When you see the word "convertible" associated with a term policy, you know that you can convert it, under certain conditions, to a cash-value form of life insurance. For most buyers, we recommend policies that are renewable at least to age 65 and convertible at least to age 60.

Although most companies offer term poljcies, selling term rarely brings in big profits. Term policies have low premiums in the early years, so they bring less money in the front door than other types of policies. That, of course, means less money to make profitable investments and to pay for the expensive distribution system the industry says it needs to sell the insurance.

Insurance companies don't encourage their agents to sell much term insurance. Compensation schedules tell the story. Some agents can earn as much as 100 percent of the first-year premium for selling a whole-life policy, but only 70 percent of a smaller amount for selling term. Other agents earn less than those amounts, but almost always they earn more for selling a cash-value policy.
"A lot of people think it's amoral to go out there hawking term insurance," says John Cumming, a former senior vice president of Home Life Insurance Co.

Consequently, many agents color term insurance with negative overtones to discourage people from buying it. Some agents call it "temporary" insurance as opposed to the "permanent" coverages offered by whole life and universal life. Others make it seem as if you're settling for less than you deserve if you buy term. "You buy term if you're broke," one New York Life agent told our reporter. Some agents derisively refer to those who specialize in term insurance as "termites."

For a time, beginning in the mid 1970s and ending in the early 1980s, the insurance industry seemed ready to embrace term insurance. Term sales rose from 45 percent of the amount of insurance sold in 1975 to 60 percent in 1982. (The percentage of company revenues derived from term was smaller, since a consumer buying term can get a lot of coverage for a reiatively small initial premium.)

There were several reasons for this brief love affair. One was a special tax advantage-since rescinded-that made it possible for companies to write term policies more profitably. Another was pressure from consumer groups, which encouraged people to buy term, and published price surveys. (Consumers Union, which has recommended term insurance since 1937, published major comparative-
cost studies in 1974 and 1980.)
Consumers benefited from the new emphasis on term as companies fighting for the business lowered their prices.
"The demand for term insurance seemed to be there," says John Walker, senior vice president of the Business Men's Assurance Co. "There was pressure to write a better product, and the only way to do that was to make it cheaper."

But the new low prices weren't-and still aren't 一always as good a deal as they seem. Many companies have begun to use "select and ultimate" pricing schemes; these postulate that an insurance buyer taking out a new policy and found to be in good health is a better risk than someone the same age who bought his policy from the company long ago and has not been examined since then. Thus new policyhoiders get a price break. They pay less than existing policyholders who are renewing at the same age.
Some companies use select and ultimate pricing to entice buyers with extremely low first year premiums. But the traditional upward slope of term premiums as you get older can become more than a slope with select-and-ultimate poli-cies-it can begin to resemble a mountain. The buyers who get such good deals initially may pay extremely high rates if they keep their policies for several years.

Select and ultimate pricing has helped make some companies' term policies much more competitive at the point of sale. Take Prudential, for instance. According to a company spokesperson, seven years ago it would have cost a 45 -year-old man $\$ 536$ for a $\$ 100,000$ term policy. Now it costs him $\$ 220$. But for many select-and-ultimate term policies, the cost measured over a longer period, say 20 years, has not improved as much as the attractive first-year premium might lead you to believe.

Some companies devised another gimmick to sell their term insurance: They made their policies "revertible." When a revertible policy comes up for renewal, the policyholder takes a medical exam. A favorable verdict from the doctor qualifies the policyholder for a rate lower than the renewal rate that would normally be charged. In other words, the policy
"reverts" to the select rate. Say you had bought a revertible policy when you were 40, and you passed the medical exam when the policy came up for renewal five years later. Your new premium would be based on the select rate for a newly examined 45 -year-old.
There was only one catch. If you couldn't pass the medical exam, you had no choice but to pay the regular premium, which might be increasing steeply. After all, when you've just flunked a physical, it's not an ideal time to go shopping for life insurance: Other companies might not want you. Revertible term came to be called Las Vegas term; if you bought the policy. you gambled that your health wouldn't deteriorate.

Agents and brokers had a field day with the new policies, sometimes switching customers to new companies every year to take advantage of some company or other's lower first-year premiums, and to eam new commissions for themselves.

The rate wars ended, however, almost as suddenly as they had started. The companies lost their tax advantage, and term policies again became as unattractive to companies as they always had been. Reinsurance companies, which share the risk of writing insurance policies (in effect, the insurers' insurers), realized that if sharp price competition continued, everyone would lose money. So they changed the rules under which they shared the risks. In addition, the industry came up with a new type of cash-value policy, universal life, to supplant the whole-Life policies that had begun to encounter consumer resistance. The brief renaissance of term poljcies was over.
"Most companies with a term product have done something to make it look less attractive," says John Walker of the Business Men's Assurance Co. "They've either reduced agents' commissions or bumped the rates."

ITT Life, which made a big splash selling term insurance in the early 1980s, says that in 1986 only 20 percent of its business will be in term, compared with 94 percent in 1984. Transamerica Occidental, which had earned a reputation for selling low-cost term insurance, says it is now selling universal-life policies almost exclusively.

## Is term becoming a secret?

The response of insurance companies to our request for information suggests that many insurers wish consumers would just forget about this low-cost form of insurance.

We asked 127 companies to give us
cost data for their term policies. Companies were chosen according to their total assets, total premiums, amount of new insurance issued, and insurance in force.

Only 57 of the 127 companies responded, far fewer than in previous
studies. A number of companies said they were designing new policies for the changed marketplace and couldn't predict their new rates. Others, like Nationwide Insurance, said they were de-emphasizing term policies.

Some companies were just plain uncooperative. Provident Mutual, for instance, refused to give us rate information and said it no longer calculated the interestadjusted net cost indexes (the method we used to evaluate the policies) for its products. "There is very little interest in these measures." Provident told us. We found that statement surprising, since 37 states have adopted a National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) model regulation requiring companies to disclose the interest-adjusted net cost indexes.
Some of the largest insurance sellers
refused to participate. They include State Farm. Connecticut General, and the Transamerica companies. State Farm begged off, saying it didn't "target the higher-echeion market," so our comparisons wouldn't be fair.

From industry sources, we obtained rate information about Transamerica Occidental's Trendselter 20, a "graded premium life" policy that looks, feels, and acts like term insurance. When a buyer asks for term, Transamerica offers this product. It's included in our study.
In three states-Connecticut, Massachusetts, and New York-life insurance is
also sold by savings banks. In past surveys we had found savings-bank policies to be exceptionally good buys, and we wanted to see if that was still the case today. So we also asked the Savings Bank Life Insurance companies in those three states to send cost information. Our published results include policies from only the New York and Massachusetts Savings Bank Life Insurance programs. That's because Connecticut savings banks cannot write individual coverage for more than $\$ 30,000$, and our comparisons were based on purchases of at least $\$ 50,000$. For more on SBLI, see the box on page 383.

## How to compare the costs

When you buy life insurance, it's relatively easy to compare the first-year premium costs. But that figure tells you nothing about what the policy will cost over the long run. Over a period of years, some policies with similar initial premiums can prove thousands of dollars costlier than others.

Ferreting out a good buy requires more information than a company will usually give an ordinary customer, and more number-crunching than most people can conveniently do with a calculator or home computer. That's why CU undertook this cost survey.

To see how the policies in our survey compared with one another, we calculated a number called the "interest-adjusted net cost index" for each. That index is an industry-accepted method for determining the cost of insurance. The index isn't hard to understand, though the actual calculation is complex.
A term insurance policy has two obvious elements: the premiums you pay each year, and the dividends (if any) that you get back. The premiums, in most cases, are fixed. But some policies have variable premiums, usually with a guarantee that the premiums will never rise above a specified level.

The dividends are not fixed, nor are they guaranteed. Think of the dividend as a partial refund of premium payments from prior years, paid at the discretion of the company. A policy that pays dividends is called a participating policy; one that doesn't is called nonparticipating.

You might think that the net cost would simply be the premiums paid minus the dividends received over the years.

Close, but not correct. There is a third, less obvious element-the timing of your payments and receipts. Say two policies have equal total premium payments over 20 years. The one with lower payments in
the early years is a better deal, because you can bank or invest the money you saved through the initially lower premium. By the same token, a dividend paid to you early is worth more than one paid to you late.
Instead of simply adding up all the premiums and subtracting all the dividends, the interest-adjusted method "accumulates" them at a stated rate of interestby custom, 5 percent. That takes timing into account and gives a more accurate picture of a policy's projected true costs.
The index is often expressed as a cost per $\$ 1000$ of insurance. Thus, a policy with a 10 -year net cost index of 4.32 , for example, is estimated to cost $\$ 4.32$ per $\$ 1000$ of coverage per year, or about $\$ 432$ annually for a $\$ 100,000$ policy. The figure is slightly arbitrary in that it depends on certain assumptions, such as a 10-year or 20-year holding period and a 5 percent interest rate.
What matters most is not the index number as such, but how it compares with the index numbers for competing policies. The lower the index number, the better the buy. (For technical reasons, you should probably not pay much attention to differences of less than 5 percent, however.) The index can be used only to compare similar policies with each other-for example, one term policy with another. It can't be used to compare a term policy with a cash-value policy.

For each policy, we calculated the net cost index for 5,10 , and 20 years. We figured the indexes at both 5 percent interest and 7 percent interest to see how a higher rate would afiect the rankings. As it happens, the rankings were virtually identical. So in the Ratings we show the indexes at 5 percent, the rate specified in the NAIC regulation.

Our evaluation of the policies is based on each one's performance over the three
durations-5, 10, and 20 years. Policies that were among the least expensive at a given duration are designated in the Ratings by the symbol - Those that were among the most expensive scored a . Policies that were somewhat less costly than average are indicated with a Those that were average are shown with a $O$, and those that were somewhat more costly than average are shown with a $\theta$.

To determine the Ratings order, we considered a policy's cost grouping at each of the three durations. If two policies did equally well, we used the 10 -year index to break ties. (If there were further ties, the first-gear premium was the tiebreaker.)
All the policies in our study refiect nonsmoker rates. In some cases, companies sent us preferred rates, which require a person to be a nonsmoker and meet other qualifications as well. (See "Are You a Good Insurance Risk"" on page 401). Policies with preferred rates are marked with an asterisk. A few companies sent us nonsmoker rates for both preferred and standard classes; the Ratings show both.
The detailed Ratings tables have room for most, but not all, of the policies we selected. For the nonparticipating policies (those that don't pay dividends) at the $\$ 200,000$ face amount, only the top 40 companies and the bottom 6 are shown. We list summary information for all the policies, however, in the alphabetically arranged tables beginning on page 398. Company addresses will appear in a list that we'll publish next month.

Policies are ranked according to the rates for men. Female fates are given alongside the male rates. As you can see by scanning the Ratings, women generally pay less for life insurance than men do. That's because the average woman lives about seven years longer than the average man.
"Don't knock yoursell out going to too many companies," a New York Life agent advised our reporter when she shopped for life insurance. "They're all the same, especially the top four companies."
Our survey showed how wrong he was. New York Life's policy wasn't a particularly good buy, placing near the middle or in the bottom half of our various rankings. And, contrary to his assertion, the policies of the four largest companies were not identical. Among 43 participating policies providing $\$ 200,000$ of coverage to a 35 -year-old man, one Metropolitan policy ranked first and Equitable's ranked third. Prudential's policy was fifteenth from the top, and New York Life's was thirteenth from the bottom.
Looking at the Ratings, you can see huge price differences among policies. For example, a 25 -year-old man can buy a $\$ 50,000$ policy from the Savings Bank Life Insurance Fund of New York for much less than from Guardian Life. The 10 -year net cost index reveals that the bottom-ranked Guardian policy is roughly three times as expensive as the savingsbank policy over the 10 -year period.
A policy that starts out cluap but ends up expensive is especially likely when a company uses select and ultimate rates. As we've pointed out, buyers of those policies usually pay very low first-year premiums but dramatically higher premiums bater on. The net cost index unmasks that kind of pricing strategy, since the ultimately higher premiums are reflected in a higher index number.
Take Alexander Hamilton's Annual Renewable Term to 100 policy, for example. A 25 -year-old man would pay a first-year premium of $\$ 184$ for a $\$ 200,000$ policy, an amount lower than the first-year premiums of seven policies that rank above it. Yet Alexander Hamilton raises the premiums steeply after the 10th year, making the policy more expensive overall. By the 20th year, the premium has risen to $\$ 790$.
The opposite can also be true. A policy may have a high initial premium but still can be a good deal if you keep it for 10 or 20 years. Massachusetts Mutual's FiveYear Renewable Term policy is an example. A 45 -year-old man buying $\$ 200,000$ of coverage would pay a high annual premium of $\$ 1082$ for the first five years, so if he keeps the policy for only five years, it's a terrible buy. But if he hangs onto it for 20 years, the policy shines because premiums do not rise sharply over the years, while dividends are scheduled to increase handsomely. Or take Southern Farm Bureau's Annual Renewable Term policy for a 45 -year-old man buying
$\$ 200,000$ of insurance. It's the secondranked policy even though its first-year premium of $\$ 604$ is higher than the firstyear premiums for the policies that rank above and below it. It's an excellent buy because, over time, its premiums and dividends compare favorably with those of other policies.

Just as the size of the first-year premium is no surefire way to judge a policy's cost, neither is the length of the term. Nevertheless, some agents may urge you to buy a policy whose premiums are level for, say, 5 or 15 years. "Your premium stays the same for five years. You know how to plan," one agent assured our reporter.
We disagree. Many of the policies with five-year level terms placed in the bottom half of our Ratings. It's how the policies are priced over time, not the length of the term, that determines whether they are good or bad buys.

Some policies are good buys at particular face amounts and ages but not at others. Home Life's Yearly Renewable Term to 75 is the third-rated policy for a 25 -year-old man who buys a $\$ 200,000$ policy, but it is a mediocre buy for a 45 -year-old man. Likewise. Union Labor's Annual Renewable Term policy is a good buy for a 45 -year old, but it's somewhat less attractive for a 25 -year old.
Some companies give you a price break if you buy larger amounts of insurance. Aetna's Flexi-Term policy, for example, is a better buy for a 35 -year-old man buying $\$ 200,000$ of coverage than for one buying $\$ 50,000$.

Some companies have more than one policy; one can be a star, the other a dog. That's the case with Massachusetts Indemnity and Lite. The company's Annual Renewable Term 10100 policy was one of the least expensive policies for a buyer wanting $\$ 50,000$ of coverage. But its Modified Term policy, which has a high first-year premium and then a level premium for the next 14 years, is consistently at or near the bottom of the rankings. A 35 -year-old man, for example, would pay almost $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times as much for a $\$ 50,000$ modified term policy as for the annual renewable policy over the 10 -year period.
The bad apparently drives out the good in this case. The A.L. Williams organization, which is the exclusive marketer of the Massachusetts Indemnity policies, says it has sold only $\$ 5.4$-billion of the cheaper policy compared to $\$ 105$-billion of the more expensive one. (We'll tell you more about the Williams organization and its marketing strategies next month.) In another case, Metropolitan's One Year

Term with Premium Adjustment ranked at or near the top of the Ratings; its Five Year Renewable and Convertible policy near the bottom. Contrary to the situation with Massachusetts Indemnity and Life, Metropolitan's cheaper policy outsells the more expensive policy by eight to one. The more expensive five-year policy is sold mostly to people who want smaller amounts of coverage not available from the cheaper one-year policy, says Jeanne Corbett, a Metropolitan vice president.

Some policies are available only in certain face amounts. Prudential, Equitable, and Bankers Life, for example, don't sell $\$ 50,000$ term policies, concentrating instead on higher amounts.

## Revertible policies

The revertible policies surprised us. We thought that "Las Vegas term" might be a good buy for people who stayed healthy, but we had assumed it would be a poor buy for those whose health took a turn for the worse. That's sometimes the case, but certain revertible policies looked good regardless.

As we said earlier, revertible policies offer you a lower rate when the policy comes up for renewal, provided you can pass a medical exam. If you can't, you'll pay a higher rate.
First we calculated a $5-, 10$ - and 20 year index for each policy assuming that the policyholder stayed well for the whole time he or she had the policy. Next we calculated the indexes assuming the policyholder got sick and could not renew at the lower rate. The change in health status was assumed to happen in the chird year for the five-year duration, in the sixth year for the 10 -year duration, and in the eleventh year for the 20 -year duration. Each revertible policy is listed twice in our rankings-once under the assumption the policyholder stayed healthy and once under the assumption he or she got sick. An "RH" designates a revertible policy under the healthy assumption; an "RU" marks the policy under the unhealthy one. To make them easy to identify, the revertible policies are also highlighted in color.

You have to look at the policy both ways to determine whether it's a good value for you. As you can see from the Ratings, a number of the policies were good buys even if you got sick. For example, a 25 -year-old man buying $\$ 50,000$ of coverage would find Old Line's LT-10 as inexpensive as a nonrevertible policy even if he got sick.
As we expected, some policies were inexpensive if the policyholder stayed

well, but expensive if he or she got sick. And some were just plain expensive either way you looked at them. For example, New England Mutual's Yearly Renewable Term to Age 95 for a 25 -year-old female buying $\$ 200,000$ of coverage is expensive no matter whether the woman stays healthy or gets sick.

## Par versus nonpar

One choice every buyer must make is between a participating (dividend-paying) policy and a nonparticipating one. Since the dividends on term policies tend to be modest, it doesn't usually make a big difference whether you choose a participating or nonparticipating term policy. The issue of dividends assumes greater importance for cash-value policies, as we'll see next month.
With a nonparticipating policy, your cost generally is fixed from the time you buy the policy. You know in advance exactly how much you will pay for a "nonpar" policy. Some buyers view that certainty as an advantage.
Participating policies are issued mainly by mutual companies (nominally owned and controlled by their policyholders), and nonpar policies are issued mainly by stock companies (those organized to make a profit for stockholders). There are some exceptions, though. Occasionally, you might come across a "flexible premium" or "variable premium" nonpar policy. In effect, though not in name, these are participating policies: Instead of paying a dividend, the company can adjust its premiums. There is one such policy in our survey, issued by the North American Co. for Life and Health Insurance.
While companies with such policies reserve the right to charge what may seem like very high maximum premiums, most of the time they won't. "Chances of a company raising its premiums to the maximum are about the same as the chances that a mutual company will discontinue paying dividends," says Walter Miller, a former senior vice president at New York Life. "Each is theoretically possible. Neither will happen."
Another quirky policy in our survey was a "participating" policy from Guardian Life that doesn't pay dividends; instead it has flexible premiums. "We priced it so low we couldn't afford to pay dividends," said a Guardian official. Our actuaries judged otherwise; it showed up among the worst policies we sampled.

Participating policies generally have higher premiums to start out with, although with term policies the differences are small. When you buy a "par"
policy, you are hoping that the dividends will reduce your net cost below what it would have been had you chosen a nonparticipating policy. In the years since World War II, that hope has generally come true: Participating policies, on the whole, have been better buys in the long run than nonparticipating ones.

At the time you're buying a policy, the agent will show you what's called a "cost illustration," including dividends if there are any. If you read the fine print, you'll often see that the dividend figures shown to you are "neither estimates nor guarantees." They're simply the company's current dividend scale.

In the last three decades, those illustrative figures have usually proved conservative. Most companies have paid more than the illustrated dividends; some companies have paid far more. During the past three decades, both mortality trends and interest rates have been favorable to lifeinsurance companies. So most companies could afford to pay dividends that well exceeded the dividends illustrated to buyers at the time of sale. Today, interest rates are falling. Some industry observers question whether the future pattern for dividends will be as rosy as it has been in recent years. We'll have more to say about that in next month's report.

Future trends in mortality can also affect whether a participating or nonparticipating policy is the better choice. A cure for cancer, for example, would result in fewer death claims to pay, so insurance companies could afford more generous dividends.

## Convertibility

A convertibility clause allows you to convert your term policy to a cash-value type of policy, such as whole life or universal life. The conversion privilege is important in case you tum out to need life insurance after age 65 or so, since some term policies can't be renewed after that age. If you think you'لl need insurance in your later years (for estate-cax reasons, say, or because you have married a person much younger than yourself and expect to have dependents when you're past retirement age), you may want to convert to a cash-value form of insurance some day. (You may rest assured that the insurance company will urge you to do so no matter your need; many companies conduct yearly campaigns urging term policyholders to convert to a cash-value type of insurance.)
We believe that very few people will have a good reason to convert. But since

## Still a Best Buy: savings-bank policies

Insurance policies available from savings banks have consistently ranked high in CU's life-insurance surveys.
That's not surprising, since state legislatures in New York, Massachusetts, and Connecticut instructed savings banks to provide cheap insurance for low- and moderate-income families. Savings-bank life insurance was the brainchild of Louis Brandeis, a Boston lawyer who later became a Supreme Court justice. Insurance agents often pressured working people into paying weekly premiums for an'expensive form of insurance called debit insurance. Brandeis believed savings banks could provide better protection at lower cost by offering policies directly to the public, thus eliminating the middleman. In 1908, SBLI was born.
Through the years, however, the insurance industry has successfully lobbied to keep savings banks from selling insurance in the amounts many people need. The agents have screamed about "unfair competition." By that, we figure, they mean offering
insurance at lower costs than they do.
In Massachusetts, a consumer can buy only $\$ 60,000$ of life insurance from a savings bank. In Connecticut, a consumer can buy an individual policy for up to $\$ 30,000$ or a group policy for up to $\$ 60,000$.

In New York, savings banks can offer only $\$ 50,000$ of individual coverage. But as of May 1, people who live or work in New York who have an account at a sarings bank can buy up to $\$ 250,000$ worth of group Savings Bank Life Insurance from the bank. Not every savings bank will be offering group SBLI policies in May, but most or all savings banks sill probably begin to offer them over the pext several months.
We strongly advise New York residents to put Savings Bank Life Insurance high on the list of policies to consider for all their insurance-protection needs. Massachusetts and Connecticut residents should consider the sasings banks in their states as a possible source for at least part of their needed coverage.
"High level protection at affordable rates." "No cancellation for poor health." "Monthly rates will never go up." "No physical normally required."

The bait for mail-order term policies looks tempting. These policies offer an easy way to buy life insurance. You simply select the amount of coverage you want, answer a few questions about your health, and return a form to the insurance company via the nearest mailbox.

You can easily buy mail-order term policies through trade and professional organizations or through financial institutions that pitch them to their members or credit-card customers. You may regularly discover such offers in your mail.
To see how mail-order policies compare in cost with the individual policies we rated, we calculated the interest-adjusted net cost indexes for four of them: an American International Life policy sold to Citibank Visa customers, a Fireman's Fund policy sold to American Express and Chase Manhattan Bank Visa card holders, a Consumers United Insurance Co. policy sold to members of the National Organization for Women, and a Home Life policy sold to members of the Association of MBA Executives.

The merits of the mail-order policies we examined appeared to depend mainly on the buyer's age. While the mail-order policies were generally as good as the best individual policies for 25-year-olds, they were costlier than many individual policies for the older age groups.
A 25 -year-old man or woman wanting $\$ 50,000$ of coverage couldn't go wrong buying a policy by mail from any of the companies in our sample. The policies were as inexpensive as the top-rated nonparticipating policies in the Ratings. (All the mail-order policies were nonparticipating.)

But 45-year-olds could do better elsewhere. If the policies for 45-yearolds were inserted into the Ratings of individual policies, the vast majority would rank in the bottom half. For example, the Fireman's Fund policy for a 45 -year-old man buying $\$ 50,000$ of coverage has a 10 -year cost index high enough to put the policy close to the bottom of the list. The American International Life policy for a 45 -year-old woman buying $\$ 50,000$ of insurance also ranks near the bottom. All the companies except Fireman's

Fund have unisex rates; that is, men and women are charged the same rate. So females don't get a break as they do with most individual policies.

Many companies offering mailorder policies don't go to great lengths to check your physical ailments and health habits. The more stringently a company checks out its applicants, the less convenient the buying process is. With mail-order policies, convenjence is a big selling point. Since they don't expect all their prospective policyholders to be in tiptop shape, the mail-order companies must charge rates that are high enough to cover the additional losses that will result when some of these people die. "You have less flexibility to set a rock-bottom price for the perfect risk," says Jon Stufflebeem, senior vice president of Home Life Insurance Co.

When you're deciding whether to buy one of these policies, be wary of certain statements sellers make.
"Your NOWlife coverage cannot be cancelled if your health changes," says the NOW brochure advertising the Consumers United Insurance Co. policy. "Even if your health fails or you're in a terrible accident, your insurance is guaranteed to continue," boasts a letter from American Express pitching the Fireman's Fund policy.

While it may be true that those policies won't be cancelled, such statements imply that other life-insurance policies might be cancelled if your health deteriorates. Not so. As long as you don't misstate some important fact on your insurance application, individual policies can't be cancelled either. After an individual policy has been in force for two years, it can't be cancelled except for nonpayment of premiums.

Watch out, too, for meaningless price claims. "A 39-year-old male American Express Cardmember pays only 63 cents daily for $\$ 100,000$ of life insurance. That's little more than the cost of a cup of coffee," Joseph Mundy, the insurance officer at American Express, wrote to cardmembers in urging them to buy the Fireman's Fund policy.

Pitches like that hardly describe the true cost of the policy over time. For mail-order policies, as for others, the proof of the pudding is in the interest-adjusted net cost index, not in the number of pennies per day.
you don't necessarily know in advance whether you'll be one of those people, we recommend that any term policy you buy should be convertible at least until you reach age 60.
The convertibility clause often varies quite a bit from one policy to another. You should read the clause with these questions in mind:
How long is the policy convertible? In general, the longer the period during which conversions are allowed, the more expensive the conversion privilege is likely to be. That cost, however, is not expressed separately; it's buried in the policy's overall cost.

In our study, the two policies from National Life of Vermont, for example, have very different policy conversion provisions. The Yearly Renewable Term-15, which ranks among the top policies in the Ratings, allows a policyholder to convert only during the first two years. The company's Yearly Renewable Term - 100 , which ranks somewhat lower, lets policyholders convert until they reach age 85. Obviously, the latter policy is more desirable if you think you'll need insurance even after retirement.

What is the policy convertible to? Some are convertible to a garden variety whole-life policy, others to a universal-life policy, others to both. The more options, the more flexibility you will have if you do wish to convert the policy.

Do you get any credits when you convert? Some companies persuade you to trade in your term policy by offering inducements such as credits toward the new policy's first-year premium. How large are those credits? Are they guaranteed?

## Questionable riders

Two riders agents may try to sell you are a "waiver of premium" rider and an "accidental death" rider. We don't recommend either.

The waiver of premium rider is really a miniature disability policy that pays your life-insurance premiums if you become disabled and are unable to pay them. The cost of this rider is usually from 2 to 5 percent of the cost of the underlying policy, though it can cost considerably more. The exact cost depends on your age, your sex, and how generously worded the rider is. If you have disability insurance-and we think most people should-you don't need the disability rider. Since some companies have routinely sold the provision in their policies, we included the waiver provision in calculating the cost of the policies in our study.


The accidental-death benefit pays survivors double or triple the face amount the policy if you die in an accident rather than of natural causes. This rider also goes under the name "double indemnity." Some agents like to tack it onto policies-at an extra cost of perhaps $\$ 100$
year on a $\$ 100,000$ policy-even though the rider makes little sense. Remember, you need enough insurance to
protect your family if you die tomorrowno less and no more. What causes your death is irrelevant.

Supposing you are adequately insured anyway, is the gamble of the accidentaldeath benefit worthwhile as an extra jackpot for your heirs? We'd say not. Insur-ance-company statistics indicate that only about 6 percent of policyholders are killed in accidents.
fecommendations

Before you shop for a term policy, be sure fill out the needs analysis worksheet on page 376. Then keep in mind the following points:

1. Use our Ratings to find a policy that's bargain for you. Look for the amount of insurance $(\$ 50,000$ or $\$ 200,000$ ) that more closely approximates your need. (The box on this page will help you compare prices for policies with face amounts different from the ones we studied.) Then zero in on the table for your sex and approxamate age. If you live in Massachusetts or New York, consider filling as much of your insurance need as possible with Savings Bank Life Insurance, since
rates for those policies tend to be quite low.
2. Look for a policy that offers a good value whether you hold it for 5,10 , or 20 years. You can't necessarily predict your insurance needs far in the future. That's why our worksheet is based on the assumption that you will die tomorrow, and why we recommend periodic review.
3. Don't be put off if an agent disparages term as "temporary" insurance you'd want only to cover a mortgage or a business loan. Term insurance can often renewed well into old age, if you need
In any case, term premiurns are lowest during the time when your children are young and you need a lot of protection; if the insurance itself is "temporary," so too, in all likelihood, is your need for a lot
it. Don't be intimidated by an agent who says people buy term only if they can't afford something better. Think instead that with the money you're saving you can afford to buy more protection for your family.
4. Ask to see the interest-adjusted net cost index for the policies you are considering. (In many states, an agent is required to furnish it to you on request.) Use it to compare similar types of policies. the agent refuses to give you the index number or tells you it's too technical to understand, go elsewhere. We don't think you'd want to do business with such a person. Term insurance is almost a commod-
ity product like grain; the basic difference is price. Therefore, price should be the major consideration in buying it.
5. If you choose one of the highestrated policies on our lists, you may have to insist that an agent sell it to you. Companies rarely compensate their agents generously for selling inexpensive policies, so the agents may not be in any hurry to bring exceptionally good buys to your attention.
6. Don't focus on the initial premium or the length of the term. Remember, term insurance premiums rise, and a low firstyear premium or a long term without a premium increase doesn't necessarily mean the policy is inexpensive over 10 or 20 years.
7. If you pay for your policy monthly, quarterly, or semi-annually, you'll pay more than if you pay the premium once a year. You are in effect financing your premiums somewhat as you would a creditcard purchase.
8. If you want a revertible policy, pick one that would prove inexpensive even if you got sick.
9. Check the financial stability of any life-insurance company before buying its policies, by looking up the company's rating in "Best's Insurance Reports," a publication found in many libraries. We recommend buying from a company rated A or $\mathrm{A}+$. All but three of the companies in our Ratings meet this standard. ITT Life and Massachusetts Indemnity were rated $\mathrm{B}+$ in the most recent edition. Union Labor was rated B. A high rating, of course; is no guarantee that a company won't fail, but it should be a good indication. Likewise, a low Best's rating does not necessarily mean that a company is in imminent danger of collapse.
10. Unless you're certain that you have only a short-term need for coverage, select a term policy that is renewable at least to age 65 . That may be especially important as some companies bring out new generations of term policies that run for, say, 10 or 15 years and can't be renewed after that.

## Costs at a glance

If you're looking for a policy that has a face amount different from the ones we looked at, or if you're considering a policy we didn't rate, the table below will help you tell at a glance whether the policy is a good, bad, or mediocre buy. The table gives the highest, lowest, and median 10 -year indexes for the $\$ 50,000$ policies we studied.

First, have the agent give you the interest-adjusted net cost index for the policy you're considering. Suppose, for example, you're a 39 -yearold fermale buying a $\$ 120,000$ nonparticipating policy. The agent says the 10 -year index is 2.43 . That index represents the cost per thousand dollars of coverage over the 10 years.
Remember, the lower the index the less expensive the policy over time.

It's possible the agent will give you the index expressed as a dollar fogure, which shows how much the policy costs you each year of the index period. Suppose the agent had told you that the 10 -year index was $\$ 292$. In that case, you can simply divide the dollar figure by the number of thoulsands of dollars in the policy's face amount to get the index number $(\$ 292 \div 120=2.43)$.

Once you have the index number, look on the table for your sex and the kind of policy you have. Then find the age closest to yours and compare your index with the ones in the table. In our example, you would find that the index for her policy was a little lower than the median index for a 35 -year-old woman buying a nonparticipating policy. The policy is a fairly mediocre buy.

|  | Lowest | Mention | Erenert |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mace rater, particintias |  |  |  |
| Age 25 | 1.47 | 2.28 | 4.16 |
| Age 35 | 1.96 | 2.92 | 5.49 |
| Age 45 | 3.32 | 5.58 | 9.99 |
| mate rates, meparticipatis |  |  |  |
| Age 25 | 1.49 | 2.59 | 3.62 |
| Age 35 | 2.11 | 3.45 | 4.93 |
| Age 45 | 4.45 | 6.57 | 10.40 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Age 25 | 1.29 | 2.13 | 3.85 |
| Age 35 | 1.80 | 2.59 | 5.01 |
| Age 45 | 3.32 | 4.67 | 9.11 |
| Fanale ratus mapertioneths |  |  |  |
| Age 25 | 1.45 | 2.34 | 3.34 |
| Age 35 | 1.66 | 2.97 | 4.52 |
| Age 45 | 3.37 | 5.66 | 7.90 |

# Ratings 

## Term insurance

Listed in order of estimated overall cost, based on 5 -year, 10 -year, and 20 -year cost estimates, weighted equally. Policies marked with an asterisk are available to
"preferred risks" only. Revertible policies are shown in color; RH indicates the estimated cost of such a policy when the buyer remains healthy; $R U$ indicates the
estimated cost if the buyer's health worsens midway through the period analyzed. All rates shown are for nonsmokers; smokers' rates are generally tigher.
\$50,000
Nonparticipating



|  |  | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY MAME | POLCY NAME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Relat |
| Mestachusetts lademity \& Life | Annual Ronewable Temm 100 | \$ 64 | 2.11 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | \$ 64 | 2.11 | - | - |
| Ofd Line Life | 15.10 [14] | 110 | 2.25 | - | - | - | 98 | 1.98 | - | , |
| First Coloay | CA95* | 114 | 2.28 | $\bigcirc$ | - | $\theta$ | 101 | 2.01 | $\bigcirc$ | - |
| Fedaral Kamper | 185 | 115 | 2.30 | $\bigcirc$ | - | $\bigcirc$ | 105 | 2.10 | - | - |
| Northwestern National | [ 7.10 [成] | 117 | 2.37 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 110 | 2.21 | $\bigcirc$ | - |
| Nortimertara Natlead | 17-10/64 | 117 | 2.37 | $\theta$ | $\bigcirc$ | O | 110 | 2.21 | - | - |
| Unhted farestors | Vrafle Amual Renawabie Term | 107 | 2.54 | $\bigcirc$ | - | $\bigcirc$ | 100 | 2.28 | - | ( |
| Washingtor Notlonal | Annul Renownble Term 90 | 101 | 2.59 | P | - | $\bigcirc$ | 89 | 1.99 | $\theta$ | - |
| Great Southern | Termpacer | 87 | 2.64 | - | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 85 | 2.38 | . | $\bigcirc$ |
| First Celony |  | 83 | 3.00 | - | 0 | $\theta$ | 96 | 272 | - | 0 |
| Manufecturent Lfe | Seloct 10 | 110 | 3.17 | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | $\bigcirc$ | 107 | 2.80 | 0 | - |
| Ond Line Lifo | LT. 11 114) | 110 | 2.78 | $\bigcirc$ | - | 0 | 88 | 2.28 | 0 | $\theta$ |
| American Asency LH | 5 Yasr Resowabte \& Converthor Tom' | 123 | 2.81 | $\theta$ | 0 | 0 | 105 | 2.26 | - | $\theta$ |
| Hife of Viryola | Yearty Renewable Tem | 115 | 2.92 | 0 | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | 108 | 2.89 | 8 | 0 |
| First Cotory | CA99* | 125 | 2.87 | 0 | $\theta$ | 8 | 122 | 2.78 | O | 0 |
| 105 | Yearty Ronowable Torm | 130 | 2.98 | 0 | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | 129 | 2.95 | O | 0 |
| UnHed Investors | Adjus table Annual Renawable Term | 130 | 2.89 | 0 | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | 122 | 2.74 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| Lberty Mritional | Annually Renewabio Term | 123 | 3.03 | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | 116 | 2.69 | 0 | 0 |
| Sun life of Canso | Nova Yearty Renewable Term | 130 | 3.14 | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | 0 | 119 | 2.91 | 0 | O |
| Amariean Asuncy Litu | Ten Year Renown le it Comatiole Tem* | 139 | 2.78 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | O | 109 | 2.17 | $\bigcirc$ | - |
| Firsi Coloay | Seloct 20 [imu | 93 | 3.00 | - | 0 | - | 96 | 2.72 | $\theta$ | - |
| Travelors | 5 Year Level Term | 155 | 3.42 | O | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 153 | 3.30 | $\bigcirc$ | O |
| Stote Mutusi | Yastly Renewade floxierm Leval Tomm | 135 | 3.42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 2.92 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Comtinental Axwerace Ca, | Adjustabio Prom. Ansuel Renowatue Tomm | 142 | 3.45 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 136 | 2.98 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Fort Dearborn | Annual Resawable Form | 155 | 3.48 | 0 | O | $\bigcirc$ | 152 | 3.34 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Alerander Mamittee | 5 Year Level Tem | 152 | 3.53 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 139 | 3.18 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Crown Life | Setact Yoarty Renowably Temm | 128 | 3.58 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 133 | 3.53 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Crown Lfe | Yearty Renewabie Temm | 158 | 3.73 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 158 | 3.39 | Q | $\bigcirc$ |
| Guardsman | Yearty Renewabie a Comertion Term | 148 | 3.88 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 142 | 3.13 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Unhed Ufo 1 Acoldeat | Yearty Renewable 7 erm | 170 | 4.13 | $\theta$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 161 | 3.87 |  | - |
| Crown Lfe | 5 Yaar Henewable Temm | 187 | 4.19 |  | 0 | 0 | 178 | 3.88 |  | 0 |
| Famers Men World | 5 Year Renewable a Convertive Term | 182 | 4.11 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 152 | 3.40 | 0 | - |
| ITT IHO | 5 Year Renewable \& Convertibit Tem | 191 | 4.86 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 163 | 3.94 | Q |  |
| Wassachusetts Indemathy Etity | Modilied Term | 428 | 4.93 | - | O | 0 | 384 | 4.52 | - | O |











## Alphabetical summary table

This table provides 10-year cost indexes for every term policy in our survey, including those not shown in the Ratings for space reasons. It is arranged alphabetically by company, and may serve to provide a rough idea of whether a
company's term policies are low or high in cost. For more detailed information, see the Ratings on pages $386-397$. Policies marked with an are available to "preferred risks" only. Abbreviations: $\mathrm{P}=$ Participating; $\mathrm{N}=$ Nonparticipating;

RH $=$ Revertible policy analyzed on the assumption that the buyer stays healthy; $\mathrm{RU}=$ Revertible policy analyzed on the assumption that the buyer's health worsens midway through the period analyzed.

| Compary \& policy name | Ippe | \$50,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$200,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mate/age |  |  | Femate/ase |  |  | Male/ase |  |  | Femple/age |  |  |  |
|  |  | 25 | 35 | 45 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 25 | 35 | 45 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aetrat He Imenrico i Alematit } \\ & \text { Flax-Term } \end{aligned}$ | P | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | O | $\theta$ |  |
| MAcocintive for Letierans Yemrty Renowabla Torm | P | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\theta$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - | 0 | 0 |  |
| Aleveder Manition <br> 5 Year Level Term <br> Annual Renewabia Term 100 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $0$ | $0$ | $8$ | $\theta$ | $\theta$ |  |
|  10 Year Ranewable and Convertida Term. 5 Yas Ranawable and Corveribite Tems' | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\odot}{\odot}$ | $\theta$ | $8$ | $8$ | $\odot$ | $\stackrel{\ominus}{-}$ | $\theta$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | $\odot$ |  |
| Gokern Life flomal Ono Year Term | P | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |  |
|  <br> Yearly Renewable Term Yearly Renewable Tem-7 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P} \\ & \mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$ | O | O | $\bigcirc$ | O | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Contiontal Aswrasca <br> Adjustable Premiom Annual Renowabte Tem Stap 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{N} \\ & \mathbf{N} \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\theta$ | $0$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\theta$ | $0$ | $0$ | 8 |  |
| Cromit Ife iesernces Saloct Yestly Renewable Tarm Yearty Renewable Term 5 Yoer Renowabio Term | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $8$ | $8$ | $8$ |  | $8$ | 8 | 8 | $0$ |  |  | $0$ | 8 |  |
| Equithbie Ufi Asseract Secioty of the US. Yearly Renewabie Term | P | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\theta$ | - | - | - |  |
| Farmers New World Ufo lesortuce 5 Yaer Renewable and Convertible Tarm | $N$ | $\bigcirc$ | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - | - | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | - | ) |  |
| Federal Kemper Wi Asurnace T95 <br> SR22/64 <br> SR22f84 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{RH} \\ & \mathrm{RU} \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\bullet$ | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | $8$ | $8$ | $8$ | $8$ | $8$ | 8 |  |
| Fint Codomy Lh harrice CA95' <br> Select 20 <br> CA99' <br> Saleci 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{RH} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \\ & \mathrm{RU} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Fort Bestort Aanual Ranewido Tom Solect Annual Renewable Tom Solect Annual Renowable Term | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \text { RH } \\ & \text { RU } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underline{\theta}$ | $\underline{O}$ | $0$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $8$ | $8$ | $8$ | $0$ |  | 8 |  |
| Fraidh Ufe hasurace Chatenger $90^{\circ}$ Chatenger 90 |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 8 | $8$ | $0$ | $8$ | $8$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
|  Ysarty Renewabte Term $1095^{\circ}$ Yoarly Renewatia 7 emm to $70^{\circ}$ Yearty Renowable Term ro 95 Yearly Renewable Tem to 70 | $\begin{aligned} & P \\ & P \\ & p \\ & p \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 0 8 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 8 |  |
| Grual Sarthera Tempacer | N | $\theta$ | - | - | 0 | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\theta$ |  |
| Gundien Ille Iasarmace Ca, of Anmica Yesty Renewable Term-Sctioduled'[1] Yasty Renewable Term-Schedulod⿴囗 Yousty Renewable Term-Maximum ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{D}$ Yearty Renawable Torm-Maximum [l] | $p$ $p$ $p$ $p$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | O |  | 0 |  | $\theta$ |  |
| Guardsessa Ife fasarnace Yeaty Renawabte and Comerbible Tam | N | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Alphabetical summary table
Continued

| Continued | Type | \$50,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 1200,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mato/age |  |  | Female/age |  |  | Mas/age |  |  | Feadelaro |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conpory } \% \\ & \text { policy namion } \end{aligned}$ |  | 25 | 35 | 45 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 25 | 35 | 45 |
| Martiveter Mind Life Iesariec: 10 Year Tam-Incroasing Premtum Term to Age 70-Increasing Preminm | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P} \\ & \mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$ |  | 8 | 8 | $\bigcirc$ | $\theta$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\theta$ | 0 | - | $\theta$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Morluweter Nollowa the leseracy LT-90 <br> LT. 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RH } \\ & \text { RU } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | 8 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\theta$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\theta$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  LT-10 <br> LT. 10 | RH | , | $\cdots$ | - |  |  | $\theta$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| LT-10 | RU | - |  | $\bigcirc$ | - |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $Q$ |  |  | 8 |
| Prelarred Anmual Rentowath Torm CEs2 | RH | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | 8 |  |  |  | 8 |
| CEA2 | RH | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CE82 | RU | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prolerred Anmual Renewabte Temt | RU | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prindditha Lfe Iesurnce |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electarm | RH | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | - | $\bigcirc$ |  | - |
| Electerm | RU | - | - | - | - | - | - | ) |  |  |  |  | 0 |


| Annusl Renewabte Term to Age 70 | P | - | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | - | 0 | O | 0 | O | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> Annusly increasing Pramium Tem no 70 | P | - | - | - | - | - | - | O | O | - | $\theta$ | O | $\bigcirc$ |
| Sorthon Fum Direse Lhe inseramot 5 Yegr Renewad速 Term Annual Renewabla Tam' | P | $0$ |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | $\theta$ |
| Sorthrition Ith <br> Renaissance Renewabls and Converthte Term Rensissanca Annual Renewabie Term II | N N | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | 8 | 8 | $\theta$ |
| State Metan LHe Assursect Co. of Anerice Yearty Aenowable Fiexterm Lavel Term Execterm II-Term 10 Age 75 Exectarm II-Term to Age 75 | N <br> RH <br> RU | O | 0 | $\theta$ | O | 0 | $\theta$ | 8 |  | , | 8 |  | O |


| Sun lite of Caris Nova Yearty Renewabte Term | N | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | O | 0 | 0 | O | O |  |  | O | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  5 Year Renewable Tom | P | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | - |
| Iraenemence Occivental Life lesirnice Trendsether 20 | N | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Frimeters latornice <br> 5 Year Leved Term <br> Yearty Renewable Term-10 | N N | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | O | 8 | - | 0 | 8 | $\theta$ | $\theta$ |
| Union Central Ansual Renawable Term to 100 | P | $\bigcirc$ | - |  | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | - | - | + |
| Union Labor ${ }^{3}$ Arnual Renawable Term 5 Year Level Term | P | $8$ | $8$ |  | $8$ |  |  | 8 |  | 0 |  |  |  |


| Uabe Mrtad Life fasmace Yearty Renewabte Temr-70 | $N$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\theta$ | O |  |  | $\theta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United lanstors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vitaife Amual Renswabis Term | $N$ | $\theta$ | - | $\theta$ | - | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\theta$ |
| Adjustable Annusl Renowable Term | N | 0 | 0 | $\theta$ | O | $\theta$ |  | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 6 |
| Usited life in Accited |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yeaty Renewabte Term | N | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dymamic Anmul Renewable Tem | RH | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| Dynamic Annual Renewable Tomm | RU | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 8 |  |



[1] This is a "flexible pramium" pollcy; $50 e$ story.
[ This company is rated B+ for financial stabillty by Best's insurance Reports, a trade scurce CU considers raliable. Unless you have indepar-
dent knowledge of a company's financial condition, we advise buyying from a company rated A or A + .
[3 This company is ratod B for financial stability by Best's Insurance Reports.

# Are you a good insurance risk? 

Applying for life insurance turns your medical history and health habits into an open book for the insurance industry. No company would want to sell you a policy if you were terminally ill: You'd be not just a risk to the company, but a sure loss. By contrast, companies are eager to insure you if you are the very model of vim, vigor, and vitality: The chances of paying off on your policy any time soon are slim.

Your occupation and even your hobbies also affect how a company views you. If you work as a stunt man or a window washer, or if you like to sky-dive in your spare time, you can expect to pay extra for life insurance, or maybe even have trouble getting it.

Insurance companies often play detective to learn as much as they can about your health and habits. The rates you pay reflect the kind of risk a company judges you to be.

## Medical sleuthing

The detective work begins with the application you fill out. You answer a number of questions about your medical history-whether you've been to a doctor in the past year, whether you've recently had X-rays, when you last smoked cigarettes. Sometimes those answers are enough for the company's underwriter to assess you as a risk.

Often, though, the company wants to check the facts for itself. It may ask that a paramedic come to your house to measure your height and weight, take your blood pressure, and collect blood and urine samples. Or it may want you to take a physical in a doctor's office. (Either way, the company will pay for the exam.)
A person's age and the amount of insurance applied for determine whether the company will ask for an exam and what kind it will be. "If a person is 45 or 50 , a company may not offer as much insurance without an exam as it would if he were 25," says Kenneth Stelzer, president of the Home Office Reference Laboratory, which performs laboratory tests for most of the insurance industry.
At Lincoln National, for example, a 25 -year-old can apply for as much as

$\$ 250,000$ of insurance without taking a medical exam. A 40-year-old can apply for $\$ 150,000$. At New York Life, a 25 -yearold can usually get $\$ 1$-million worth of insurance without an exam; a 40 -year old can usually get only $\$ 200,000$.
A company may also check to see if there's a file on you at the Medical Information Bureau, an insurance-company trade group whose members exchange information about prospective policyholders. When you apply for life, health, or disability insurance, you usually sign a form giving the company permission to turn over information about your medical condition to the Bureau.
A company typically reports such things as blood pressure, electrocardiogram results, and any illnesses you've had. Sometimes it also notes your driving habits, hobbies, and avocations, especially ones that pose a risk to life or health.

If you oace told a company you were a skodiver, that fact is probably somewhere in the Bureau's files. So the aext time you apply for insurance, remember to tell the new company about your hobby, since they'll find out about it anyway.
A company might also learn about pou through an investigative report made by the company itself or by an outside firm like Equifax Services, an Atlanta-based firm specializing in such reports. The investigator will usually interview you either in person or on the phone to confirm what you said in your application. Often an investigator will also talk to your spouse, friends, or business associates about your habits and sometimes your financial affairs.

In former years, investigators often interviewed neighbors as well. These days, according to Equifax, they don't do much of that, partly because neighbors
don't know each other as well as they used to some years ago.

Occasionally an investigator uncovers something an applicant forgot to mention; trips to the Middle East several times a year, for example, or the pint of whiskey sipped for dessert every day. Such facts can be red flags to an insurer.

Investigators scrutinize your smoking habits, since smoking separates good risks from bad in the life-insurance busjness. They might check your driving record too; companies aren't keen about insuring drunk drivers. "Some companies routinely request that information; others don't," says John Rahiya, an Equifax vice president. "We don't check it for a majority of companies, but more seem to be asking for it."

## Measuring the risk

Once the company gathers information, it "underwrites" you. That is, it determines what rate you'll pay-standard, substandard, or preferred.

If you're a standard risk, you have no significant health problems and your chances of dying are about average for your age group. In 1984, 87 percent of all policies were issued at standard rates.

If you're a substandard risk, you have a greater than average chance of dying within the next few years. Someone who has had heart surgery, for example, may be a substandard risk. If you're a poor risk, the company will restrict the amount of coverage you can buy, or charge you more for the insurance.

The amount of additional premium you pay depends on the severity of your illiness or impairment. Someone with mild high blood pressure would pay less than someone whose blood pressure was extremely high and uncontrolled.

Companies turn down very few applicants for life insurance-about 2 percent in 1984. People with medical conditions such as mild diabetes or some forms of cancer would once have suffered automatic rejection. Now they're insurable, albeit usually at higher rates.

One condition companies definitely don't want to insure is AIDS. A few companies ask questions about the disease on their applications and screen applicants for it, using blood tests. Both steps are controversial, and some states want to prohibit companies from taking them, a prospect that doesn't thrill the industry.
'I know of no other disease where we're prevented from learning about adverse information the applicant aiready knows," says David Hopper, vice president of reinsurance for Lincoln National.
"Preferred" rates are the best rates that a company offers. To get them, you must show that your risk of dying is much lower than average. Not all companies offer preferred rates, but those that do often have stringent requirements for qualifying.

Southern Farm Bureau, for example, will consider your driving record and whether you maintain a regular exercise program in assessing you as a potential preferred risk.

At the North American Company for Life and Health, you can't hold a pilot's license and your parents must not have contracted cardiovascular disease before their 60th birthdays if you want to be considered a préerred risk.

At John Hancock, preferred means that you are in exceptionally good physical condition with normal build and average weight and height and that your family history indicates above-average longevity. John Hancock also requires that your "financial stability, habits, character, business, and home environment be of high standard."

Something to keep in mind about preferred rates, however, is that one company's standard rate may be lower than

> Your insurance application is not the place to tell little white lies. If you misstate something . important . . you could be putting your dependents in financial jeopardy.

another company's preferred. If you look at the Ratings, you'll see that preferred policies are scattered throughout, not clustered at the top.

If you don't smoke, most insurance companies give you a price break. Nonsmokers almost always pay less than smokers, often as much as 40 or 50 percent less, depending on the kind of policy they buy and the company's rating schemes.

Some companies have smoker and nonsmoker rates in their standard class; some also have both in their preferred class. At other companies, the nonsmoker rate is the preferred rate.

Former smokers can qualify for nonsmoker rates. To do so, you must usually
have stopped smoking for at least a year. All of the prices quoted in our Ratings refiect nonsmoker rates.

## Telling the truth

Your insurance application is not the place to tell little white lies. If you misstate something important, such as a major illness, and you die within two years of taking out the policy, you could be putting your dependents in financial jeopardy. The insurance company can deny all or part of the claim.

Companies say they are not always getting truthful information about applicants' smoking habits. "We're seeing a higher percentage of people applying for nonsmoker rates than we believe qualify," says Don Clough, a vice president at State Mutual of America, the company that pioneered nonsmoker rates. Based on the percentage of people in the general population who smoke, companies say they , should be finding more smokers among their applicants.

Some companies are beginning to track down fibbers by requiring tests for cotinine, a byproduct of nicotine that lingers in the urine for several days. Other companies are keeping close tabs on their agents to make sure they are reporting accurate information to the companies.

If you've lied about your smoking habits and you subsequently die of lung cancer, a company might reduce the amount they'll pay your survivors. Instead of receiving the full face amount of the policy, your survivors might get a sum that represents what the premiums you paid would have bought at the company's smoker rate.

While you have an obligation to tell the truth when you apply for insurance, the investigative companies that keep files on you also have an obligation to collect and maintain accurate information. To see that they do, the Fair Credit Reporting Act gives you the right to see your files and make corrections if necessary.

To obtain a copy of your record at the Medical Information Bureau, write to the Bureau at P.O. Box 105, Essex Station, Boston, Mass. 02112. Or phone 617-4263660. The Bureau discloses medical information only to a medical professional you designate. It gives nonmedical information to you directly.

To obtain a copy of your investigative report from Equifax Services, contact the local Equifax office listed in the telephone directory. There are some 600 of them around the country. You can also write to the director of consumer affairs, Equifax Services, P.O. Box 4081, Atlanta, Ga, 30302.

